While the field of gifted education has relied on educational, cognitive, counseling, behavioral, developmental, and social psychology, the domain of depth psychology offers special insights into giftedness, especially with regard to individuation. The notion of passion, or the thorn (J. S. Piirto, 1999, 2002), the incurable madness (F. C. Reynolds, 1997, 2001), the acorn (J. Hillman, 1996, 1999), the daimon (C. G. Jung, 1963), the importance of integration through the arts and through dreams; the existence of the collective unconscious; the presence of archetypes; and the transcendent psyche—all have resonance with the binary etymological idea of “gift” as both blessing and poison. Depth psychology offers a way of understanding that is physical, psychological, and spiritual.
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Whether he understands them or not, man must remain conscious of the world of archetypes, because in it he is still a part of Nature and is connected to his own roots. A view of the world or a social order that cuts him off from the primordial images of life not only is no culture at all but, in an increasing degree is a prison or a stable. If the primordial images remain conscious in one form or another, the energy that belongs to them can flow freely into man...I am far from wishing to belittle the divine gift of reason, man’s highest faculty. But in the role of absolute tyrant, it has no meaning—no more than light would have in a world where its counterpart, darkness, is absent...the rational is counterbalanced by the irrational, and what is planned and purposed by what is. (Jung, 1959, p. 23)

In the above quote, written more than 50 years ago, C. G. Jung expressed the need for the archetypal, symbolic dimension to life lest it become like a prison or a stable. Yet, archetypes and psychologies that include them remain marginalized, often unknown in the field of talent development and giftedness. The psychological ground of the field has been dominated by clinical, behavioral, developmental, and multiple educational psychologies of learning styles, intelligences, and brain chemistries. At first, that list seems extensive, but in fact, all of them are ego psychologies and their central focus gathers around what Jung called “the divine gift of reason” in the opening quotation. Allowing waking consciousness to furnish our only psychological point of view holds our educational efforts to a fixed way of seeing, of feeling, of knowing and of understanding in such a way that it unexpectedly restricts the very innovation, imagination and creativity that we wish to cultivate in our programs. Acknowledging what is below the surface, beyond the ego, broadens the possibilities for educating.

Definition of Depth Psychology

The term depth psychology is the container for a number of psychologies that concern themselves with the unconscious. Though its existence was known and utilized by mesmerists and hypnotists (Meissner, 2000; Robertson, 1995), the unconscious gained its first scientific foothold in modern times with Freud. However, the psyche recovered its greater depths in Jungian psychology, Hillman’s (1975) archetypal psychology, Sardello’s (1996) spiritual psychology, and Roszak’s (1992) ecopsychology. In all, the rational, intentional human mind, waking consciousness, or gift of reason, is only one player in a much larger field of consciousness.

The reason for the present conceptual paper is that, while most people acknowledge that there are depths, and while they seem to yearn for connection with these, the current educational scene steers away from such, except in advanced studies of philosophy, literature, and clinical psychologies. Depth psychology approaches human experience with a view towards multiple interpretations and expressions. Depth psychology could be called postmodern in its intricacies. Writers and thinkers in the depth psychological and postmodern mode have given voice to ancient complexities only now beginning to resurface from the depths. The works of J. K. Rowling have permitted the return of magic, mystery, and arcane delights to children’s literature (personal communication, Stephanie S. Tolan, November 15, 2003). The surprise best-seller The Da Vinci Code, by Dan Brown (2003), has given the study of symbols new life. And, as Grasse (1996) said, such a perspective “tells us life is not empty, but is in fact rich in meaning, purpose and archetypal resonance” (p. xii).

Depth psychologists believe that the ego consciousness, our daytime “I,” is not the master of the psychological house. They feel this was proven early on by the word association tests (Jung, 1910, 1970), where the individual, after an initial ease with associating words with given prompts, would begin to take extra long for some responses, draw blanks, give answers that rhymed. The unexpected or what went wrong, when taken together would often exhibit a thematic quality, be connected to returning emotions, memories, repressed instincts, which came to be known as the complexes. The word association tests demonstrated that in spite of our intentions, something other, not known to the daytime “I,” could interfere and participate in our behavior. Over the years, the metaphoric characters and the inner dramas of the complexes led psychologists to call their approach to the psyche a “poetic basis of mind” (Hillman, 1975, p. xi).

Since the appearance of Freud’s Interpretation of Dreams in 1900, the existence of the unconscious has held as a psychological fact. The exact nature of what is in the unconscious is what distin-
guishes the different depths of the depth psychologies. For Freud, the unconscious contained various forms of instinct and memory in the form of complexes, a personal unconscious that had emotional and somatic/physical attributes. For Jung (1959), that personal unconscious tested upon an even deeper layer, the collective unconscious or the objective psyche, which was far more ancient than an individual lifetime and contained the primordial images, the archetypes. The archetypes featured not only emotional and somatic attributes, but also spiritual and worldly attributes that appeared in vision, dream and synchronicity. Synchronicity is Jung’s word for the meaningful coincidences that are part and parcel of deep psychological experience. For Jung, the objective psyche also contained a guiding, organizing center, the Self, very much like the Hindu Parusha, the God Within.

Hillman (1975) wished to keep psychology free from the dogmatism of Jung’s Self. He said that our psychological depths do contain archetypes, but they are best served by an understanding that respects their full autonomy. In other words, for Hillman, the depths are polycentric and if there is a Self, we honor it best by not dictating how it should behave. Hillman pushes archetypal theory to its fullest stature. For him, an archetype and a God, in the classic (e.g., Grecian or polytheistic) sense of the word, are the same. Additionally, he prefers the word soul to the words personal or collective unconscious. Hillman amplified the term “soul” by using these related words: “mind, spirit, heart, life, warmth, humanness, personality, individuality, intentionality, essence, innermost purpose, emotion, quality, virtue, morality, sin, wisdom, death, God” (Hillman, 1964, p. 44).

Sardello (1996), wished to free the soul from Hillman’s thought. In particular, he sought freedom from the idea of an archetypal soul rooted in Hellenistic culture. For Sardello, the imaginal capacity of our beings is best honored when it serves not so much the past Gods or the Self. The soul seeks to co-create with the world a deeper cultural future, based as much as possible in Love. He pointed out that “for people who lived in times past, care of the soul was natural and instinctual, carried through ritual, ceremony, mystery centers, an oral tradition of story, myth, and art” (p. 7).

Finally, although he might not strictly be called a depth psychologist, Roszak (1992) wishes to return the depth recovered through humanity to nature and the cosmos. He makes the assertion that the environmental health of the planet and human psychological health are in relation with each other, that one will not be whole without the other. He suggests that humankind has been collectively insane in its treatment of the biosphere. Roszak asserts that we have immense power to harm what we need in order to live, and we continue to harm the earth. This indicates that the culture “is mad with the madness of a deadly compulsion that reaches beyond our own kind to all the brute innocence about us” (p. 70).

Although present in Jung, Hillman and Sardello, Roszak’s (1992) assertion that human psychology is embedded in nature represents a full return of soul in the form of the world soul, or Anima Mundi. Roszak saw the Jungian idea of the collective unconscious as the “most serviceable in the creation of an ecopsychology” (p. 302). Today we call this theory Gaia. Earth itself is a living being and through our becoming conscious, she becomes conscious: "the collective unconscious, at its deepest level, shelters the compacted ecological intelligence of our species, the source from which culture finally unfolds as the self-conscious reflection of nature’s own steadily emergent mindlessness” (p. 301).

Why Educators Should Be Interested in Depth Psychology

The depths should interest us as educators for three reasons. The first concerns the value we place upon our work. In all, even in Freud, as Bleil (1983) noted, depth psychology is a care of soul. With soul as the central factor, education returns to its deepest root, educare, a leading out from lesser meanings to deeper ones, from lesser connectedness to greater connectedness, from naive shallowness to the deep experience of being alive that Joseph Campbell spoke of so often (see Campbell, 1949, 1968; Fideler, 1995; Marlan, 1997; Myss, 2001; Reynolds, 1999). Ego, cognitive, and developmental psychologies currently hold the field of education of the gifted and talented. With the perspective of depth psychology, education is no longer an ego-based work of strengthening brain function, problem finding, problem solving, developing talent in some domain, mental inventiveness or cleverness, nor cultivating economic, technological, explanatory skill. Our students, our schools, our communities, our watersheds, our cosmos, and we become rooted and in relation with the mystery of being — ensouled.

The second reason for us to be interested in the depths of depth psychology concerns the biographical and autobiographical. Depth psychology increases our capacity to understand and respect the psychological experiences common to the lives of the talented and gifted, namely those heights and depths of mood, inspirations, dreams, oceanic and transcendent moments, insights, intuitions, spiritual visitations (Aziz, 1990), the slings and arrows of outrageous mental states, even unto bouts of unexplainable somatic symptoms, of mental illness, of compulsiveness, hyperdriven self-destructiveness, bipolar disorder and suicide attempts (Piirto, 1998a, 2002, 2004, 2005, in press, in preparation).

In our test-driven and socially constructed definitions of who is or who is not gifted and talented, we lose sight of the mystery of exceptionality in people. No one can really understand this mystery, and we reduce it when we try to put a test score to it. The Dabrowski Theory of Positive Disintegration (1965) explains, in a hierarchical model, the various levels of adult development, but these levels, too, are reductive when used to explain instead of understand. Depth psychological approaches to the mystery of giftedness and talent honor the unknown, with its shadows and deep wells beneath the surface, and do not rest on the merely quantifiable.

The third reason educators should be interested in depth psychology concerns our capacity to perceive and honor genius in a way proper to it. We use the term “genius” because it is used by most depth psychology thinkers as interchangeable with the term “daimon.” All the names given to the quality of genius over the years indicate an “other,” who is the protector of our reason for being. It is this daimon Cobb, 1992; Hillman, 1996, 1999; Jung, 1965; Moore, 1994; Myss, 2001), this Thorn (Piirto, 1999a, 1999b, 1999c, 2002, 2004, 2005, in preparation), this Incurable Mad Spot, (Reynolds, 1997, 2001, 2002, 2004, 2005), which can be best
understood when seen archetypally, not only as the presence of physical prowess and genes, not only in the presence of drive, resilience, heroic strivings, but also in our pathologies, crimes, accidents, chance, spiritual visitations, experiences of being in the hands of a higher power, positive disintegrations, dark nights of the soul, or the madness that comes when the Muse speaks (Dabrowski, 1965; Graves, 1948; Plato, 1952).

When considered from the depths, it is irresponsible to develop assorted talents of our students, even those super-human ones, without some inquiry into the meaning that the presence of those talents might serve.

With soul comes the presence of death, which tribal peoples say was the first teacher. That is to say that death always reminds us of the preciousness of life, and that mainly through our brushes with death do we learn what truly matters most. With soul comes the presence of love, including eros and its claims upon the heart of teaching and learning. Many of us teach because a teacher loved us, even because we were in love with our teacher or our teacher was in love with us. Plato (1952) in *Phaedrus* insisted on the presence of that love for the deepest education to occur.

**Education as Educare: The Return of the Soul**

When we as educators seek to educate with soul in mind, a radical spark is struck. Hillman (1983) pointed out "by definition, education must lead out" (p. 179). He suggested that educators lead the child out by leading the child in, by focusing on the imagination in the child's fantasies. He urges the education of the imagination. Hillman (1975), in *Re-visioning Psychology*, was most pointed and succinct in his description of soul. He asks psychology to return to the deepest root of its own meaning, the psyche of psychology. As educators, the depths bring us to reconsider the deepest root of the meaning of teaching, our own *educare*, in the Platonic sense. As noted above, to *lead out from* makes the most sense when we speak of it with soul in mind.

From soul’s perspective, the individual comes with the task of perceiving and bringing into the world that which only he or she can bring, even unto what the Greeks called meditation, in the sense of embodying prophetic capacity. Joan of Arc, Ghandi, Krishna-murti, those who Simonton (1995) called the *eminent*, who Nietzsche (Heidegger, 1990) calls the *great man*, have a place in soul’s classroom. The cosmos can be known as the immensely creative, ongoing work of art that it is.

With soul comes a realization that creating, directing, and maintaining programs of talent development are what the ancients called *elderling*. Thus, they are cultural work, a care for the indigenous culture to be considered in relation with the village’s joy in living. In traditional cultures, this individual’s self-apprehension through experience that s/he had a soul and a deep calling in life was done through rites of passage. In those rites, the student was helped to move from the world of childhood into the world of adult relationships.

With soul comes the higher orders of human consciousness, namely contemplation; reflection; intuition; metacognition; knowing the true, the beautiful, and the just; dreaming; and imagining with arts-based, philosophical, ethical and social justice curricula that feature a capacity for sufficient depth and complexity. With soul comes creativity and reverence for creation in its deepest sense.

Lastly, and leading into our next section, with the perspective of soul comes a foundation that holds a mature respect for the darker side of human nature. Such an eye has seen what Hillman means when he writes, “The psyche does not exist without pathologizing” (1975, p. 70). As teachers of the gifted and talented, we can acquire the eye that can see in the dark from experiences with these students over the years, from speaking with others, and from biographical studies (e.g., the Goertzel [1962, 1978] studies; Gruber’s [1982] studies). We often find the presence of traumas, mental illnesses, crimes, and afflictions accompanying eminence. For better or worse, bad things happen to good people. Biographies of certain creative productive adults, especially in the fields of visual arts, creative writing, mathematics, music, and theater show that some spent time in the psychiatric ward, in the hospital emergency room, in the prison, sometimes at the funeral home (Piirto, 1998b). Piirto jokingly, yet seriously, tells parents who want their children to become creative adults that the studies show the best thing they could do is "get divorced or die" (p. 342).

Depth acquainted with the dark is not naïve about creativity. Creativity is not all light, warm and fuzzy activities infused into content lessons; it is not described by lines and charts; it is not putting on silly costumes and telling jokes; it is not self-esteem exercises, nor fluency and other cognitive divergent production exercises. Creativity is not always friendly. It is sometimes autistic, bent on harming, turned against life, death-bringing, even satanic.

With soul comes the knowledge that giftedness is something we have to wrestle with in our hearts, something that shapes us as much as we shape it. Giftedness takes us out of the comfort zone. Marsilio Ficino (1489), the translator of alchemical texts, of the lost books of Plato, the teacher who introduced to the West the ideas that would bring forth the Renaissance in Florence, Italy, models a master educator’s attitude toward the pathologizing inherent to soul when he advises, “there is nothing so deformed in this whole living world that it has no soul, no gift of soul contained in it” (p. 86).

For us, as educators of the gifted and talented, this means that when we consider our curricula and our educational systems, we must also listen carefully in the places where the progress is disrupted and where the process breaks down. Where schooling gets *deformed* isn’t to be too quickly cured with Ritalin, Zithromax, behavior modifications, detentions and expulsions without temperament based on the knowledge that soul is also breaking forth precisely at that same place where the educational process is pathologized. In fact, where our work gets deformed is often where soul makes its first claim on how education should proceed and how a deeper psychological perspective is being requested.

**Gifted and Talented: An Archetypal Perspective**

Part and parcel of the tradition of soul elucidated above, a tradition that can be traced back through Plotinus, Plato, through Heraclitus, comes a very high esteem for humanity and the cosmos. Soul mediates between spirit qualities, which Ficino (1980) calls "divine," and physical qualities, which the Renaissance doctor calls "fallen." Ficino and other Renaissance thinkers made a psychological breakthrough in that they saw humanity and the cosmos as tri-partite, body, soul, spirit (see Figure 1). We lost this useful description in the process of the Enlightenment, with the myth that scientific materialism could solve all, that
"progress" was key, that we could provide for our psychological needs by acquiring, destroying, rebuilding, cutting down, and bombing with ever "smarter" technologies. At this time, only the idea of the archetype makes room for those three qualities, not as divided from each other and at war, but as coming together in an understandable way. Hauke (2000) argued that depth psychology can function today as a response to modernity, and that it is presciently aligned with the postmodern critiques of contemporary culture.

---

**Soul Diagram**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPIRIT</th>
<th>SOUL</th>
<th>BODY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

Hillman (1975) saw archetypes as "the deepest patterns of psychic functioning, the roots of the soul governing the perspectives we have of ourselves and the world" (p. xiii). He said that archetypes are "axiomatic, self-evident images to which psychic life and our theories about it ever return." As such, archetypes resemble "the models or paradigms, that we find in other fields ... translations from one metaphor to another." All language, all definition is metaphorical, even in science and in logic. Archetypes, however, possess us and blind us: "one thing is absolutely essential to the notion of archetypes: their emotional possessive effect, their bedazzlement of consciousness so that it becomes blind to its own stance." Hillman even goes so far as to say "An archetype is best comparable to a God" (p. xiii).

The archetypal principle is both ancient and complex. However, in its application it is quite simple. When considering a life, it is more appropriate to wonder what story or myth is being enacted. What is this situation like? Is it like the story of Cain and Abel? Is this boy like young Sir Gawain knocked completely out of his boat by the strong emotion of the woman he loves? Is this girl like Grimm's girl in Mother Holle, so sad that she falls down a deep well. It is the image, the story that relieves the soul from isolation, which leads it out from its cave of ignorance because now the person is not the only one. Jungians say often that when the situation can be seen as a present-day playing out of an eternal story, there is a curative effect. Our boy, then, may feel ashamed that he fears the strong emotion of his girlfriend, but when he learns that one of the knights who found the Grail had the same problems, he has a way through; in fact, the trouble reveals hidden gold. Our girl may hate herself for her depressions, but when she learns that the golden girl had the same problems, she can begin looking for the wise old woman of nature at the bottom of her well. The trouble has hidden gold; the story shows the way. Connecting one's behaviors and dreams to ancient stories common to all cultures provides a way of "seeing through" to the implications of the multiplicities with which we live our lives, the patterns we enact of which we may not be aware.

There is no end to the archetypal persons, stories, and myths that appear. Western psychologists could consider how a person may be enacting the archetype of Kore and her birth, or the Puer Aeternus learning from the Saturnal Senex or the Apollonian warrior battling for divine truth, or the Dionysian ecstatic lover, suffering and delighting in being dismembered by women, but all cultures' heroes, Gods, ancestors, dreams, visions, and stories can appear (Edinger, 1994). Jung (1970, Vol.9) has detailed descriptions of the Mother archetype, the Child archetype, the Wise Old Man and Wise Old Woman archetypes, the Anima, the Anunnas, the Maiden, the Trickster/Shadow archetype, the Wise Magician/Medicine Man archetype. Stevens (1983) states that archetypes cannot be grasped academically, for they have a feeling tone recognized by the individual experiencing the archetype: "Ultimately, you cannot define an archetype, any more than you can define meaning. You can only experience it" (p. 67). These aspects of the collective unconscious appear to all, but especially to those who are receptive, who notice symbols, who think in abstract ways, all of which are characteristics of the gifted and talented.

Application of the archetypal way is simply to put students in touch with those stories, myths, books, persons, which seem to be reflected in their lives. Teachers are encouraged to tell stories to answer life questions. We often say, "Your situation reminds me of this story I once heard." This archetypal principle is central to the works of psychological teachers like Robert Bly, Clarissa Pinkola-Estes, and Caroline Myss. Their books on the wild man archetype (Bly, 1990), the wild woman archetype (Pinkola-Estes, 1996), and the archetype of spirit (Myss, 1999), all deepen and bring understanding to everyday life by connecting it to myth.

The first author has applied depth psychology's deeper view in his high school French classes as a means to help students understand historic art and architecture. As noted above, all psy-
chologies of depth regard the presence of the unconscious as a psychological fact. (See Table 1 for suggested materials).

(1) The Cro-Magnon caves of initiation in the Dordogne, Lascaux for example, were places where the men transformed the initiates’ psychological state from childhood literalism into an adult view. The death and re-birth there opened the neopnythe to the “world beyond the world,” where spirit was real and soul was the calling of his life.

(2) The Celtic dolmens, menhirs, alignments, were all formed around a knowledge of cycles. Those cycles included the death and rebirth of the soul from embodied life to embodied life.

(3) The Gothic cathedrals, especially Chartres, were symbolic teaching tools. The beheaded figure of St. Denis at the left-hand door of entry said to the students and pilgrims that they would have to “lower the head to the level of the heart,” in other words, think symbolically, archetypally, to understand anything at all within.

(4) The Renaissance and the image of the Vitruvian man who “squares the circle” (most persons know Leonardo’s version of this, but it was everywhere), described the profound value of the human soul that had a reach beyond the 4-directioned square of Earth and endless circle of spiritual Heaven. With my students I explain why the French king Francis the First brought Leonardo to France, why Francis spoke to the master every evening, why Da Vinci is buried at the Chateau of Amboise. The Renaissance insistence that humanity held a station higher than the angels is fully understood with the knowledge of the tri-partite view described above.

(5) The fuller reality of the Surrealists – the “Pope,” Andre Breton; along with, Dali, Magritte, de Chirico, Chagall, Klee, Tanguy, Duchamp, and for a time, Picasso, – represented diving head-first into the reality of the world of depth psychology. I tell my students how the Surrealists, fully disillusioned by World War I and the ego-based materialism of modern culture, worked to overthrow the literal, rational consciousness. They worked to restore intuition, freedom of imagination, and dreaming through art, poetry, literature, games, automatic writing. My students then do projects working like Surrealists.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Required Materials</th>
<th>Suggested Materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
The Capacity to Understand Genius

The first author had a gifted student who suffered from an extreme depression and who had to be hospitalized. She returned to school heavily medicated and assigned to group rational-emotive therapy. This is the typical educational response to psychological difficulties. The guiding principle is that depression is an imbalance or sickness that blights humanity's natural upbeat outlook. Often, chemicals and interventions are applied in order to make the pain go away, but what is underlying the pain is not addressed. However, for the darker eye of depth psychology, the educational process can be seen through in its pathologizing as well as in its sunnier guises. Seeing through the literal to the underlying patterns, myths, and archetypes provides insight that is often telling.

All the names given to the quality of Genius over the years, indicate an “other,” who is the protector of our reason for being. It is this Thorn, this Mad Spot, which can be best understood when seen archetypally. The word gift also means poison. Where the poison is, you will also find the Genius. The student above tested for very high verbal ability; she wrote in a style that was older than her age. On paper, she appeared as a student who should breeze through school with good grades, which she did until puberty. She went through a radical transformation that was accompanied by a powerful dream of the end of the world. It was as if she was taken down the well by this question: What constitutes the deepest meaning of this life?

Where the Daimon/Genius/Thorn/Mad Spot intervenes is where education, being led out, is being requested. Those who worked best with her honored the pain of her question and worked with her to help her find her way through. Those who made light of her suffering, pointing to underachievement, were bent to remove the problem. They only found more trouble.

Her travels led her down under to New Zealand, later to a Sufi community, later still to work as a volunteer in compassionate causes, including her own project that was to bring meditation to public schools. Her inspiration continues through this paper, a request on her part to bring to education the teachings of soul, the depth psychologies that were so helpful to her in her quest to understand herself as physical, psychological, and spiritual. That she left this world too young after living through so much brings a painful call to us to continue working for depth of understanding in our field. Evidence of that appears in our gentleness in the classroom and in the culture we form around our schools.

The Poetic Basis of Mind

Depth psychology is not science. It is poetry (Hillman, 1975). Rooted in aesthetics and in imagination, everything is interpreted as image, as story and as potentially meaningful. One doesn’t look up a dream image in a dream book for the answers; one doesn’t read a dream as literary criticism; rather, one seeks to go beyond the literal to the poetic, beyond the psychoanalytic to the imagination in the image. Losing one’s teeth in a dream is not a sexual image, as the dream manuals may say; rather, every image, every story is interpreted with reference to the dreamer, the individual having the dream. Every student has an individual dream – is an individual dream – and has a vision.

With a poetic basis of mind, the symbolic is paramount. It takes precedence over the literal. Perhaps this is most aptly illustrated in the ongoing discussion in our field about “giftedness” and “talent development.” The discussion, as we see it, sets up straw men, for talents are what must be “developed,” in order for the person to realize his or her deepest giftedness.

The term “talent,” in American English, refers to a mostly inborn skill, capacity, or propensity toward being able to do something well. Developing “soul” in this context means following your bliss, as Joseph Campbell (1968) so often said. While we are discussing the deepest, most profound aspects of humanness, the talented person must have the will and the passion for the demands of the talent domain. Part of being ensouled, or filled with soul, is to acquire expertise in the place of passion.

Talents are not to be developed blindly without inquiry into the student’s passion. Depth psychology insists on including a student’s heated interests. Depth psychology inquires where and why a talented student is engaged in a certain domain, be it mathematics, a certain branch of science, literature, music, sports, or other domain. Multitalented students are encouraged to notice when they lose track of time, enter oceanic consciousness (sometimes called flow), even when they get into trouble because of a particular overexcitability. They are encouraged to notice those areas where an incredible drive compels them to work in a domain. That drive is like a Thorn, an Incurable Mad Spot, or Daimon. None of these terms can be defined, except phenomenologically – in symbol and in action; in metaphor or in motion.

For example, the first author had a student who scored a perfect 800 on the math portion of the SAT, who also performed violin with the Cleveland Youth Orchestra. Her passion, however, was for languages. She came to me after her third year of Spanish, wanting to learn French. She advanced through to French 4 in two years. In Spanish, her teacher created a level 6 to accommodate her talent. She went on to study languages at the university, even though she could have achieved in the other domains. Passion and drive made the difference. Depth psychology insists on that deeper view of the student.

The second author had an undergraduate honor student who was going on to major in public administration in graduate school. She received this letter from him:
Dear Dr. Piirto,

I am writing to you now in order to ask for your help. I had contact with two of my relatives recently. Each approached me individually and asked what I have planned for the future. I began to tell each of them of my plans to get my master's in public administration. I tried my hardest to explain this career for them, but I felt like a fool. I explained the different aspects and I tried to define it, but I stumbled and faltered. I felt as if I were lying to their faces. . . . I am accepted into the School of Public Policy and Management [at a Big Ten university]. . . . I plan to start grad school in the fall. I am getting married. . . . My heart is not in public administration. But I had this great fear anxious. If I were to die, at the end of my life, and go to heaven, I fear God's gaze on me. I could imagine Him asking me, "What did you do with the gifts that I gave you?" And I could only show him a skewed degree in public administration. I am at the point now when I have suppressed my desires too much. I want to live entirely for my true talents rather than just using them casually. I have never had enough faith to believe that I could support myself and a family with a job that involves writing. . . . I want to write. I want to live inside this inexhaustible gift that God has blessed me with.

This young man at the age of 21 exhibits the passion that we speak of. Depth psychology validates passion as the primal necessity in living life.

Another example comes from Robin Rogers, a teacher with her master's degree in talent development education. She is a high school English teacher, taking a seminar in depth psychology and education. Her weekly memo described a lesson in teaching from the depths (See Appendix).

Words have life; they are alive. Images have life; they are alive. Shifting and changing, enunciating and expanding, the approach is qualitative, phenomenological, and even more (Moore, 1989). Miller (2000) noted that "it is the literalist who violates the text by not seeing its poetry, that which it fingers, that to which it points; whereas the poetic reading" (p. 57) respects the many meanings of depth of all texts. Hillman (1975) said, "archetypal psychology holds that the true iconoclast is the image itself which explodes its allegorical meanings, releasing startling new insights" (p. 8). He argued that one must love the image in order to get to its soul.

In the field of the education of the gifted and talented, we view poetry and image as products rather than as processes. To view the development of a child as poetic takes imagination and a sense of play as well as a deep sense of responsibility to the child's own images and dreams. To possess talent is one thing, to develop talent is another. Often parents and teachers do things to talented children rather than with them, and a form of child abuse is wrought (Miller, 1997). Tofler and DiGeronomo (2000) have even given this the status of a syndrome called Achievement By Proxy Distortion Syndrome.

Intuition is key here. The Myers-Briggs Type Indicator, based on a Jungian idea of preferences (Jung, 1970, p. 6), has indicated that students who are gifted and talented overwhelmingly prefer Intuition (N) over Sensing (S; Piirto, 1998b). The intuitive minds of these students often do not meet the like intuitive perception, of giftedness that appreciates the passion that we speak of. Depth psychology can open up the wall between what the student prefers and what the school expects (See Table 2).

In conclusion, the field of psychology called depth psychology can open up an understanding, or at least an intuitive perception, of giftedness that appreciates its mystery, its richness, and its individuality. This paper has shown that attention to poetry, archetypes, symbols, and depths can reach the inner truth, the souls of students and their teachers.
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A Carefulness Creative Using Depth Psychology Principles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core Attitudes</td>
<td>Risk-Taking (The Princess and the pea), Navajo (The Rain), Meditation (The Riven Meditation), Group Trust (Red Wounds-Individuation), Self-Discipline (Thoughtlogs-Individuation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Six I's</td>
<td>1. Imagery (Ten minute move-archetypes), 2. Imagination (Fingerpainting, clay, poetry, fiction), 3. Intuition (Intuition Probe, Psychic Intuition, Dreams), 4. Insight (Grasping the Gestalt, Aha, Zen Sketching), 5. Inspiration (Visitation of the Muse), 6. Incubation (Individual Creativity Project)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Seventh i-Improvisation</td>
<td>Jazz, Theater, Word Rivers, Writing Practice, Creative Movement, Rhythm &amp; Drumming, Scat Singing, Doodling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meditation</td>
<td>Meditate on Beauty (15 minutes at a work of art - aesthetics, archetypes), Meditate on the Dark Side (A visit to a cemetery, The Shadow), Meditate on Love (Bing a Sacred Text to Class-Literature), Meditate on Nature (I am a Naturalist, &quot;This is the Day Which the Lord Hath Made&quot;, Gaia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaesthesia</td>
<td>Mixing it Up - Seeing, Hearing, Smelling, Tasting, Touching (Fantasy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>A Walk, A Run, Aerobics, Games, Dance (Gaiamile Roth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploring Passion in a Domain</td>
<td>Noticing Oceanic Consciousness (Flow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversation and Friendship</td>
<td>A Creativity Salon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting (Field Trips)</td>
<td>Bookstore/library, a Museum, a Concert, a Play, a Movie, A Reading or Lecture, A Place (Travel)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Graves, R. (1948) *The white goddess* New York Farrar, Straus, and Giroux


Hillman, J. (1964) *Suicide and the soul* New York Harper & Row


Hillman, S. (Ed.) (1997) *Fire in the stone* Wimette, B. Chiron


Pinto, J. (1999a) *Talented children and adults* Their development and education (2nd ed.) Columbus, OH Prentice Hall/Merrill


Pinto, J. (1999d) *My learning team* Understanding creative writers Cresskill, NJ Hampton Press


Pinto, J. (2002) *Creative process in poets* In J. Kaufman & J. Bartz (Eds.), *Creativity across domains* Faces of the muse (pp. 1-20) Milwaukee, NJ Lawrence Erlbaum

Pinto, J. (2003) *Crisis as personal transformation* A postmodern view of creativity with emphasis on what creativity means to you In K. Konczak & R. Horn (Eds.) *Education and psychology* Greenwood Press


Reynolds, F. C. (1997, July) "Reifying creativity during the adolescent passage" Paper presented at Ashland University, Ohio


Robertson, R. (1993) *Jumpan archetypes* York Beach, ME


Simmel, G. (1873-1918) *A materialistic view of the self* New York Quell


I decided to start with poetry. To prepare them for the eventual concepts, I had the students write a journal entry on what life is like as a teen in today's society. Then the students broke into small groups and brainstormed on the same topic. In an essay the students went back to their groups and then deeply into one topic of their choosing. The floodgates of their lives as teens, either on a personal level or reflecting on the group experience. In addition the students were asked to bring in poems or edited song lyrics whose central purpose was to comment on some aspect of society today (it did not have to deal with just teen issues).

After checking their poems/lyrics (some incredible examples of societal commentaries from students' own poetry to published poets and songwriters), I played Bob Dylan's 'Blowin' in the Wind' in the Western background. I introduced the concept of the great poet. Next, I intruded discussion on modest and great poets and why society needs to listen to the poets (and I added artists to set up future assignments and thought processes). What followed was some of the most intense teaching I have ever done (in my infinite wisdom I decided to do this work with both seniors and juniors which means teaching it to 156 students, seven times a day which equals 28 presentations and discussions in four days).

Of course, the students struggled with Jung: Who doesn't? I assured them Jung was deep, and the whole concept of growth and path really demanded one to struggle and to think and reflect, and to observe. I quoted you when I told the students that a student should struggle to reach the next level of growth and development. We studied and discussed what constitutes a person versus a great poet. During this discussion I opened the door to the concepts introduced in The Adolescent Psyche (Frankel, 1980), and we explored why teenagers are more receptive to the poets of our time than most adults and the mass of society.

The humping, while simultaneously exciting, thing for me was learning that many students already knew about what I was learning to see for the first time in the passages I had so excitedly marked to share and teach to my students. How arrogant and how typically adult. They did not need me to teach them; they already knew (not about Jung, but about the adolescent psyche; all they needed was someone to hear them and listen to them, to value their ideas, perspectives, and experiences, both outwardly and psychologically). The power of the puwer was released in the group consciousness as we broached feelings, explored ideas, raised questions, posed insights, and offered validations. I gave their consciousness direction and voice, but the students awed me with their ways of knowing. I mentally checked off the points I had marked in the book to share with them. The beauty of class last week resided in the experience of students and teacher giving one another the precious gifts of human awareness and open communication, of willingness to listen, probe, share and observe, insights and ideas, and of delighting in one another's being.

Interesting to note, I was observed by my supervisor. The class was on fire with ideas, insights, deep thoughts, and open communication. Even he couldn't contain his excitement. During our discussions last week, students pointed out that the learning was so different. In the past, we stressed the discussion on iconoclasts and the importance of people questioning the status quo. My supervisor even added to the list of iconoclastic, great poets to include Neil Young.

After completing our rather exhausting discussion on Jung's criteria for analyzing poets, the students listened to 'Blowin in the Wind' again, this time marking notes on their lyric copies, then briefly sharing with the class what criteria of the great poet were evidenced in the poem. Next week we will explore examples from Bruce Springsteen, Johnny Cash, and Neil Young. The students will work in small groups, discussing meanings and applying knowledge gleaned from prior discussions and from studying Jung, write in journals on topics reflective of their work; do Thought Logs, engage in class discussions, and end the week by writing a reflective essay on their selected thought processes of the week.

Next, the students will choose which modern day poets and lyricists they want to discuss in their small groups, than present their analyses to the class. As a parallel assignment, students will be required to read and clip newspaper and magazine articles and pictures of current events (TV programs and commercials, bring in advertisements, computer displays, movie ads, fashion articles and pictures, etc. that underscore the collective culture and how they represent and influence the collective psyche, both consciously and unconsciously of our times. Eventually, they will make collages that reflect the mixed messages of society and reveal the soul of the collective unconscious.

During our discussions last week, students admitted to never considering which poets and how they would be considered modest or great. We discussed this and in no way diminished the enjoyment of their poems or songs and, in the case of musicians, how the music must be separated from the lyrics in this consideration, not an easy thing to do. This part of the unit will culminate in the students 1) analyzing her/his own poem/song choice in a written paper and 2) demonstrating an understanding of evaluating criterion of which poets they read and listen to would be considered modest or great.

Appendix

A Lesson Using Depth Psychological Principles by Robbin Rogers (used with permission)